

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 17-01762V

Filed: May 16, 2019

UNPUBLISHED

[REDACTED]

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Damages Decision Based on Proffer;  
Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine;  
Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine  
Administration (SIRVA)

*Danielle Anne Strait, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Seattle, WA, for petitioner.  
Daniel Anthony Principato, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for  
respondent.*

## **DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES<sup>1</sup>**

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On November 9, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) in her right shoulder as a result of a pneumococcal conjugate vaccination administered on October 12, 2015. Petition at 1-3. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On October 22, 2018, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding petitioner entitled to compensation for SIRVA. On May 15, 2019, respondent filed a proffer on

---

<sup>1</sup> The undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

award of compensation (“Proffer”) indicating petitioner should be awarded \$68,034.58. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, respondent represented that petitioner agrees with the proffered award. *Id.* Based on the record as a whole, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **the undersigned awards petitioner a lump sum payment of \$68,034.58 (comprised of \$67,500.00 for pain and suffering and \$534.58 in unreimbursable expenses) in the form of a check payable to petitioner, [REDACTED]** This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**  
Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties’ joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

[REDACTED]	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	No. 17-1762
	)	Chief Special Master Dorsey
v.	)	ECF
	)	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN	)	
SERVICES,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

**PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION**

**I. Compensation for Vaccine Injury-Related Items**

On October 19, 2018, respondent filed a Vaccine Rule 4(c) report concluding that petitioner suffered an injury (SIRVA) that is compensable under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to -34. Accordingly, on October 22, 2018, the Chief Special Master issued a Ruling on Entitlement.

Respondent now proffers that, based on the evidence of record, petitioner should be awarded \$68,034.58. This amount represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

**II. Items of Compensation and Form of the Award**

The parties recommend that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment described below, and request that the Chief Special Master’s decision and the Court’s judgment award the following: <sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future pain and suffering, and future lost wages.

A. Petitioner's Damages

A lump sum payment of \$68,034.58 (comprised of \$67,500.00 for pain and suffering and \$534.58 in unreimbursable expenses) in the form of a check payable to petitioner, [REDACTED]

B. Guardianship

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO  
Acting Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

CATHARINE E. REEVES  
Deputy Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

GABRIELLE M. FIELDING  
Assistant Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

/s/ Daniel A. Principato  
DANIEL A. PRINCIPATO  
Trial Attorney  
Torts Branch, Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 146  
Benjamin Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146  
Phone: (202) 616-3662  
Fax: (202) 353-2988

Dated: May 15, 2019