## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 13-62V Filed: February 5, 2014 (Not for Publication)

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	
	*	
,	*	
Petitioner,	*	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<ul><li>* Stipulation; Tdap; G</li></ul>	BS
	*	
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
•	*	
Respondent.	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	

Danielle Anne Strait, Esq., Maglio, Christopher & Toale, PA (D.C.) for petitioner. Alexis Babcock, Esq., U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. for respondent.

## DECISION ON JOINT STIPULATION1

Vowell, Chief Special Master:

["petitioner"] filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program<sup>2</sup> on January 25, 2013. Petitioner alleges that she suffered from Guillain-Barre Syndrome [GBS] as a result of the tetanus-diptheria-acellular pertussis [Tdap] vaccination she received on September 11, 2011, and she further alleges that she experienced residual effects of this injury for more than six months. See Stipulation, filed Feb. 5, 2014, at ¶¶ 2, 4. Respondent denies that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2006).

petitioner's alleged GBS was caused-in-fact by her Tdap vaccination and denies that the vaccine caused any other injury or her current condition. Stipulation at ¶ 6.

Nevertheless, the parties have agreed to settle the case. On February 5, 2014, the parties filed a joint stipulation agreeing to settle this case and describing the settlement terms.

Respondent agrees to pay petitioner:

A lump sum of \$145,000.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner,

This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The special master adopts the parties' stipulation attached hereto, and awards compensation in the amount and on the terms set forth therein. The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Denise K. Vowell
Denise K. Vowell
Chief Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.