In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

No. 09-656V (Filed: March 21, 2014)

Franklin Caldwell, Jr., Sarasota, FL, for Petitioner Traci Patton, Washington, DC, for Respondent

UNPUBLISHED DECISION¹

On March 21, 2014, Respondent filed a joint stipulation concerning the petition for compensation filed by on October 2, 2009.² In her petition,

Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the undersigned's action in this case, the undersigned intends to post this order on the United States Court of Federal Claims website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, "the entire" order will be available to the public. *Id*.

Petitioner alleged that the influenza vaccine, which is contained in the Vaccine Injury Table (the "Table"), 42 C.F.R. §100.3(a), and which she received on October 25, 2008, caused her to develop Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS). Petitioner represents that there has been no prior award or settlement of a civil action for damages on behalf as a result of alleged vaccine-related injury or death. Stipulation at ¶¶ 2, 4, 5.

Respondent denies that the influenza vaccine caused to suffer GBS and/or Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy (CIPD) or any other injury. Nevertheless, the parties agree to the joint stipulation, attached hereto as Appendix A. The undersigned finds said stipulation reasonable and adopts it as the decision of the Court in awarding damages, on the terms set forth therein.

Damages awarded in that stipulation include:

8. A lump sum payment of \$120,000.00 in the form of a check payable to Petitioner. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a).

Stipulation at ¶8.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC, Appendix B, the clerk is directed to enter judgment in case 09-656V according to this decision and the attached stipulation.³

Any questions may be directed to my law clerk, Camille Collett, at (202) 357-6361.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Lisa Hamilton-FieldmanLisa Hamilton-FieldmanSpecial Master

On November 17, 2010, Petitioner filed a motion to re-caption the case as Petitioner died. The undersigned granted the order re-captioning the case to reflect as executor of his wife's estate as the proper Petitioner on November 18, 2014.

Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties can expedite entry of judgment by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review by a United States Court of Federal Claims judge.